Co-Culture of Adipose-Derived Stem Cells and Chondrocytes on Three-Dimensionally Printed Bioscaffolds for Craniofacial Cartilage Engineering
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Objectives/Hypothesis: Reconstruction of craniofacial cartilagenous defects are among the most challenging surgical procedures in facial plastic surgery. Bioengineered craniofacial cartilage holds immense potential to surpass current reconstructive options, but limitations to clinical translation exist. We endeavored to determine the viability of utilizing adipose-derived stem cell-chondrocyte co-culture and three-dimensional (3D) printing to produce 3D bioscaffolds for cartilage tissue engineering. We describe a feasibility study revealing a novel approach for cartilage tissue engineering with in vitro and in vivo animal data.

Methods: Porcine adipose-derived stem cells and chondrocytes were isolated and co-seeded at 1:1, 2:1, 5:1, 10:1, and 0:1 experimental ratios in a hyaluronic acid/collagen hydrogel in the pores of 3D-printed polycaprolactone scaffolds to form 3D bioscaffolds for cartilage tissue engineering. Bioscaffolds were cultured in vitro without growth factors for 4 weeks and then implanted into the subcutaneous tissue of athymic rats for an additional 4 weeks before sacrifice. Bioscaffolds were subjected to histologic, immunohistochemical, and biochemical analysis.

Results: Successful production of cartilage was achieved using a co-culture model of adipose-derived stem cells and chondrocytes without the use of exogenous growth factors. Histology demonstrated cartilage growth for all experimental ratios at the post–in vivo time point confirmed with type II collagen immunohistochemistry. There was no difference in sulfated-glycosaminoglycan production between experimental groups.

Conclusion: Tissue-engineered cartilage was successfully produced on 3D-printed bioresorbable scaffolds using an adipose-derived stem cell and chondrocyte co-culture technique. This potentiates co-culture as a solution for several key barriers to a clinically translatable cartilage tissue engineering process.
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INTRODUCTION

Reconstruction of craniofacial cartilagenous defects, performed for an unsalvageable auricular or nasal framework in the setting of trauma, oncologic resection, or congenital malformation, is among the most technically challenging surgical endeavors in facial plastic surgery.¹ A select number of surgeons have mastered the art of using autologous rib cartilage, the current gold standard, to carve a neo-framework, resulting in aesthetically and functionally acceptable results.²³ Alternately, some surgeons have elected to use synthetic constructs fashioned in the shape of an auricle or nose.⁴⁵
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The field of cartilage tissue engineering (CTE) holds immense potential for creation of bioengineered craniofacial cartilage that both surpasses current reconstructive options and decreases patient morbidity. Several promising studies have demonstrated the use of chondrocytes or chondrogenically pulsed mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) to produce neocartilage that is histologically and mechanically comparable to native auricular cartilage. However, with the many positive prospects in CTE, significant challenges remain, most notably the need for a large \(10^7\) number of cells.

Co-culture, for which chondrocytes and MSCs are simultaneously seeded onto tissue engineering scaffolds, is a new technique that holds promise for circumventing some of the current limitations of utilizing chondrocytes or MSCs alone. Here, we report the use of a co-culture model using adipose-derived stem cells (ASCs) and chondrocytes for CTE. We hypothesize that co-culture technique can be adapted for craniofacial cartilage applications using hydrogels combined with 3D-printed bioresorbable scaffolds and that a variety of ratios of ASCs to chondrocytes may be utilized. This approach affords the potential for patient-specific CTE using CAD while mitigating the limitations of cell availability and need for prolonged in vitro cell culture or exogenous growth factor exposure of traditional CTE approaches. These represent key barriers to the eventual goal of creating a clinically translatable patient-specific craniofacial CTE methodology. Our objective is to assess the feasibility of this approach and the effect of ASC-to-chondrocyte ratio on cartilage production using histologic, immunohistochemical, and biochemical analysis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Protocol approval was obtained by the University of Michigan Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (#3857) and the University of Illinois Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (#10114).

**Scaffold Design and Manufacturing via 3D Printing**

Scaffolds were created using previously described image-based hierarchical design methods developed by Hollister et al. This process can be used to create patient-specific tissue engineering scaffolds of any geometry. A standard 10-mm \(\times 5\) mm cylindrical disc scaffold macroarchitecture with a 2.7-mm spherical pore internal microarchitecture was chosen for this study to produce consistency of constructs for tissue analysis. This yielded an overall scaffold porosity of 68.3\% with an available volume per scaffold of 268 µL. A midline groove was incorporated into the scaffold to facilitate bifurcation during analysis. The final scaffold design was then 3D-printed using an EOS P100 laser sintering system (EOS North America, Novi, MI) adapted to laser sinter L-polycaprolactone (PCL) powder (PCL source: Polysciences, Warrington, PA; PCL preparation: Jet Pulverizer, Moorestown, NJ). The laser-sintering process can accurately reproduce feature sizes on the order of 700 µm and produce over 500 representative scaffolds with a single print cycle. Scaffolds were cleaned of residual excess powder via sonication in 70\% sterile ethanol, then sterilized in a 24-hour 70\% sterile ethanol soak prior to use.

**Cell Harvest and Culture**

Porcine ASCs derived from subcutaneous back fat and chondrocytes derived from auricular and tracheal cartilage were harvested from adolescent Yorkshire pigs using previously developed methods. Porcine cells were utilized for experiments due to the investigators’ experience with these cells and availability of the necessary cell types. Primary (P0) ASC and chondrocyte cells were spun down and frozen prior to cell seeding experiments. At the time of preparation for scaffold seeding, cells were thawed and expanded in growth media consisting of high-glucose Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) (Gibco, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA) with 10\% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco), 1\% penicillin-streptomycin, and 0.2\% Fungizone (Gibco, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA) in a 37°C, 5\% CO2 incubator. Adipose-derived stem cells were expanded to passage 2 (P2), and chondrocytes were expanded to passage 1 (P1), to provide sufficient cells for seeding. Cells were passaged at 90\% confluence.
Creation of Experimental Ratios and Scaffold Seeding

Adipose-derived stem cells and chondrocytes were rinsed with Hank’s buffered saline solution (HBSS) (Gibco, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA), trypsinized (0.25% trypsin) (Gibco, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA), and aliquoted into experimental ASC-to-chondrocyte ratios of 1:1, 2:1, 5:1, 10:1, and 0:1. Cell counting was performed prior to cell mixing using an automated cell counter (Moxi Z, Orflo Technologies, Ketchum, ID). Given that the cells were harvested from several animals, each cell type was pooled prior to creation of experimental ratios. Each experimental group was then re-suspended in a type I collagen:hyaluronic acid hydrogel solution and seeded into a pre-wetted cylindrical PCL scaffold. The hydrgel consisted of type I collagen at a concentration of 6 mg/mL in acetic acid (Discovery Labware, Ketchum, ID) and hyaluronic acid at a concentration of 3 mg/mL (LifeCore Biomedical, Chaska, MN). Prior to seeding, the PCL scaffolds were placed in custom-fabricated silicone (Sylgard, Dow Corning, Midland, MI) molds to prevent extravasation of the seeding solution prior to gelation. A 0.65N NaOH in NaCO₃ solution was used to induce gelation, and scaffolds were subsequently transferred to 24-well low attachment plates (Fischer Scientific) for culture. The cell seeding density was 2 × 10⁶ cells/cm³, and a total of 12 scaffolds per experimental group were seeded.

In Vitro Incubation

Seeded constructs were placed individually within a 24-well low attachment plate with one scaffold per well and placed in a sterile CO₂ incubator. Scaffolds were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ on an orbital shaker within the incubator for 4 weeks. Culture media was changed every 2 to 3 days by aspirating old media from each culture well and then replacing it with 1.25 mL of fresh media warmed to 37°C within a sterile culture hood. Culture media consisted of low-glucose DMEM with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA), and 0.2% Fungizone. After 4 weeks, six scaffolds from each experimental group were extracted for post–in vitro biochemical analysis, whereas the remaining six were reserved for in vivo implantation.

In Vivo Implantation

Seven athymic rats underwent implantation with tissue engineering scaffolds under general anesthesia with isoflurane delivered by mask. All scaffolds were rinsed with HBSS prior to implantation. All animals were male, with each weighing between 250 and 305 g. Each animal was shaved, prepped with iodine solution after induction of anesthesia, and then a vertical incision was sharply made on the dorsum of the animal. A total of four scaffolds per animal were implanted in a subcutaneous pocket into randomized quadrants on the back of the animal. The incision was then closed with surgical staples, which were removed on postoperative day 7. After 4 weeks, the animals were euthanized, and the scaffolds were harvested for post–in vivo analysis.

Biochemical Analysis

Post–in vitro and post–in vivo specimens were split along the midline groove to double the number of constructs for analysis. One-half of each construct was weighed wet, lyophilized, reweighed dry, and digested in 1 mg/mL Papain stock solution (Fischer Scientific) at 65°C for 16 hours. PicoGreen assay (Invitrogen, Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA) was used to quantify the DNA content of the constructs with Lambda phage DNA (0–1 mg/mL) as a standard. The sulfated-glycosaminoglycan (s-GAG) content was measured using the Blyscan Glycosaminoglycan Assay (Accurate Chemical & Scientific Corp., Westbury, NY).

Histology and Immunohistochemistry

The remaining post–in vitro and post–in vivo specimens were split along the midline groove to double the number of constructs for analysis. One-half of each construct was weighed wet, lyophilized, reweighed dry, and digested in 1 mg/mL Papain stock solution (Fischer Scientific) at 65°C for 16 hours. PicoGreen assay (Invitrogen, Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA) was used to quantify the DNA content of the constructs with Lambda phage DNA (0–1 mg/mL) as a standard. The sulfated-glycosaminoglycan (s-GAG) content was measured using the Blyscan Glycosaminoglycan Assay (Accurate Chemical & Scientific Corp., Westbury, NY).

RESULTS

In vitro co-culture of porcine ASCs and chondrocytes in 3D-printed PCL cylindrical discs with an internal spherical porous architecture resulted in growth and maintenance of cartilage-like tissue after 8 weeks (4 weeks in vitro plus 4 weeks in vivo). Surgical implantation was straightforward, and the scaffolds were well tolerated by the animals with no minor or major complications. There was good maintenance of structural support by the PCL scaffolds after 4 weeks growth in a subcutaneous pocket, as shown in Figure 1C. Histologically normal-appearing cartilage growth was noted in all experimental co-culture groups after 1 month of in vivo culture, which was confirmed with type II collagen immunohistochemistry (Fig. 2). Notably, the 5:1 ASC-to-chondrocyte ratio demonstrated well delineated hyaline cartilage architecture in histology, with dense collagen deposition and lacunae surrounding the chondrocytes and differentiated ASCs within the microspheres of the scaffold (Figs. 3 and 4).

Biochemical analysis results are summarized in Figure 5. There was no statistically significant difference in s-GAG content normalized to scaffold wet weight (µg/mg) or DNA content (µg/mg) between the co-culture experimental groups at the post–in vitro or post–in vivo time points. There was a statistically significant higher s-GAG content normalized to scaffold wet weight (µg/mg) and DNA content (µg/mg) in all co-culture groups compared to the chondrocyte-alone control group (P < 0.05 for all analyses) at the post–in vitro timepoint; however, this difference disappeared at the post–in vivo timepoint.

DISCUSSION

Reconstruction of the auricular and external nasal frameworks, whether performed in the setting of
Fig. 2. 40× histologic and immunohistochemical results of co-culture experimental groups at differing ratios of ASCs to chondrocytes after 4 weeks of in vitro followed by 4 weeks of in vivo culture. Representative slices are shown of each experimental group through the inner sections of the tissue engineering scaffolds at 40× magnification. Black scale bar in all panels = 300μm.
ASC = adipose-derived stem cells; PC = primary chondrocytes.

Fig. 3. 100× Safranin O stain of 5:1 ASC:chondrocyte co-culture scaffold after 4 weeks in vivo growth representative of a longitudinal inner section of a construct. White asterisk denotes well-defined lacuna around chondrocytes within cartilage matrix. Black scale bar = 100μm.
ASC = adipose-derived stem cells.

Fig. 4. 40× type II collagen immunohistochemical stain of 5:1 ASC:chondrocyte co-culture scaffold after 4 weeks in vivo growth representative of a transverse outer section of a construct. Black scale bar = 1 mm.
ASC = adipose-derived stem cells.
onto a scaffold and cultured in vitro prior to subcutaneous implantation. A variety of scaffold materials, including polyglycolic acid, polycaprolactone, chitosan, and silk, have been used successfully with varying properties that are favorable for different conditions. However, with the many positive prospects of producing a tissue-engineered auricle, new challenges have also surfaced.

The primary limitation of utilizing solely chondrocytes for CTE is the large number of cells (up to $5 \times 10^7$) needed to seed human-sized craniofacial frameworks. The number of chondrocytes available from autologous cartilage is limited, and passing chondrocytes induces dedifferentiation with loss of type II collagen and s-GAG production. Mesenchymal stem cells, of which ample cell quantities are available, have been posited as a solution to seeding requirements. Prior experiments have shown a variety of MSC types, including ASCs and bone-marrow stromal cells, to be capable of chondrogenic differentiation. However, chondrogenic commitment of MSCs requires exogenous delivery of GFs for weeks and cells can demonstrate a propensity for ossification. Additionally, neovascularization of 3D tissue-engineered cartilagenous constructs has proven to be a challenge to the long-term stability of these constructs, particularly with fragility of ASCs in hypoxic tissue environments.

Co-culturing of chondrocytes and MSCs is a new technique that holds promise for circumventing some limitations of utilizing chondrocytes or MSCs alone. In a co-culture model, chondrocytes and MSCs are simultaneously seeded onto a tissue engineering scaffold. Chondrocytes have been found to induce chondrogenic differentiation of the MSCs via production of exogenous GFs such as cytokine-like protein 1 (Cyt1), bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2), parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP), and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β)—as well as paracrine, juxtacrine, and gap-junction signaling pathways. In this way, chondrocytes maintain the chondrogenic niche required for commitment of MSCs to the chondrogenic phenotype, circumventing the need for exogenous GF delivery. Additionally, chondrocytes provide matrix for MSC migration and prevent ossification of MSC-derived chondrocytes.

Our group has previously developed a process utilizing image-based design and 3D printing to produce high-fidelity, patient-specific tissue engineering scaffolds using PCL, a bioresorbable polymer. Utilization of a bioresorbable material allows for eventual replacement of the scaffold with chondrocyte extracellular matrix, thus best emulating natural craniofacial cartilage. We have previously seeded these scaffolds with primary chondrocytes to produce tissue-engineered auricular and nasal constructs. One advantage of a scaffold-specific CAD approach utilizing 3D-printed biomaterials is the ability to generate an intra-scaffold environment more conducive to cell survival and neovascularization. Our group has previously performed studies, demonstrating that a spherical pore microarchitecture results in improved cell survival and cartilage deposition for CTE. This process affords the ability to rapidly chondrogenically while also allowing meticulous control of the pore microarchitecture. However, our prior investigations have been limited by...
many of the previously described constraints, including the limited number of chondrocytes available for harvest, the need to passage chondrocytes in cell culture, and the need for prolonged exogenous GF exposure.

Although other studies have utilized ASC/chondrocyte co-culture for CTE,

39 this report is the first to our knowledge describing successful use of ASC co-culture on 3D-printed tissue engineering scaffolds for successful CTE. The use of ASCs with a co-culture technique is particularly advantageous for a clinically translatable approach given the low morbidity to harvest these cells vis-à-vis bone-marrow derived stromal cells. The described process can readily be adapted for tissue engineering constructs of any shape, including patient-specific auricular and nasal constructs using digital imaging and communications in medicine (DICOM) data (Fig. 6).

10 Our results demonstrate that all the experimental ratios of ASC to chondrocytes in our study resulted in type II collagen and cartilage production on short-term in vivo analysis. Notably, this was achieved without the use of exogenous GFs during scaffold incubation. Using a cell count goal of $5 \times 10^7$ as the number of cells needed for a typical human-sized auricle, ratios of 10:1 and 5:1 ASC: primary chondrocytes (PC) yield cell number requirements that are clinically achievable from a cell harvest without the need for prolonged passaging of cells in the laboratory setting.

40 These represent important barriers to a clinically translatable process for craniofacial CTE, which appear to be overcome with a co-culture technique.

Interestingly, the co-culture scaffold groups all appeared to perform similarly, despite the different ratios of ASC to chondrocytes. Additionally, the co-culture groups appeared to outperform the chondrocyte-alone scaffolds in amount of histologic cartilage deposition, despite identical cell seeding densities. This may represent an inherent superior viability of co-cultured cells in this methodology or synergistic interaction of co-cultured cells to promote chondrogenesis. However, this could also be an artifact of decreased viability of chondrocytes in cell culture. Given that no analysis of cell viability or gene expression was performed in this study, these ideas remain speculative.

Our feasibility study is limited by a short in vivo incubation period and small number of constructs. As such, statistical differences of the biochemical characteristics of the experimental groups may have not been captured, or differences in the trajectory of tissue deposition with more prolonged in vivo growth. Inferences regarding human translatable of a tissue engineering model utilizing porcine cells should be tempered until similar results can be demonstrated using human-derived PCs and ASCs. Our PCL constructs are anticipated to maintain construct fidelity for 2 to 3 years prior to resorption. More prolonged in vivo study will be necessary to determine whether the tissue-engineered construct contracts or resorbs after scaffold dissolution. Additional study of the microscopic orientation and mechanical characteristics of ASC:PC co-cultured constructs will help delineate whether co-cultured cartilage creates primarily elastic cartilage or fibrocartilage, although this is of less importance in a structure such as an auricle. Further cell viability and gene expression analysis studies would also help characterize the chondrogenic commitment of ASCs and the ability of ASCs and PCs to tolerate co-culture on 3D-printed bioscaffolds. We chose an incubation period of 4 weeks for our scaffolds after cell seeding in concordance with previously described CTE techniques. However, given the lack of a need for exogenous GF delivery with a co-culture approach, we are currently investigating a “seed-and-go” approach in which scaffolds are implanted immediately after cell seeding. Given that our MSCs were not immunofluorescently labeled, direct demonstration of chondrogenic differentiation of the MSCs was not possible. Nor was it possible to assess migration of host MSCs into the constructs. However, we feel that histologic markers are a sufficient surrogate in the scope of this experiment given prior work, which has demonstrated the successful chondrogenic commitment of MSCs using this technique.

CONCLUSION

We confirm the successful use of an ASC–chondrocyte co-culture technique and CAD-designed 3D-printed tissue engineering scaffolds for CTE in an animal model. This co-culture model produced formal cartilage production on short-term in vivo follow-up in all experimental groups, including ASC-to-chondrocyte ratios of 5:1 and 10:1. The clinical availability ofASCs and lack of a need for prolonged exogenous GF exposure suggest that this approach mitigates many of the limitations of traditional CTE approaches. These represent key barriers to the eventual goal of creating a clinically translatable patient-specific craniofacial CTE methodology that may be overcome using co-culture and 3D printing.
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