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Automated Quantification of Vocal Fold Motion in a Recurrent Laryngeal Nerve Injury Mouse Model

Megan M. Haney, DVM; Ali Hamad, MS; Emily Leary, PhD; Filiz Bunyak, PhD; Teresa E. Lever, PhD

**Objectives/Hypothesis:** The goal of this study was to objectively examine vocal fold (VF) motion dynamics after iatrogenic recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) injury in a mouse surgical model. Furthermore, we sought to identify a method of inducing injury with a consistent recovery pattern from which we can begin to evaluate spontaneous recovery and test therapeutic interventions.

**Study Design:** Animal model.

**Methods:** The right RLN in C57BL/6J mice was crushed for 30 seconds using an aneurysm clip with 1.3-N closing force. Transoral laryngoscopy enabled visualization of VF movement prior to surgery, immediately post-crush, and at two endpoints: 3 days (n = 5) and 2 weeks (n = 5). VF motion was quantified with our custom motion-analysis software. At each endpoint, RLN samples were collected for transmission electron microscopy for correlation with VF motion dynamics.

**Results:** Our VF tracking software permitted automated quantification of several measures of VF dynamics, such as range and frequency of motion. By 2 weeks post-injury, the frequency of VF movement on the right (injured) side equaled the left, yet range of motion only partially recovered. These objective outcome measures enabled detection of VF dysfunction that persisted at 2 weeks post-crush. Transmission electron microscopy images revealed RLN degeneration 3 days post-crush and partial regeneration at 2 weeks, consistent with functional results obtained with automated VF tracking.

**Conclusions:** Our motion-analysis software provides novel objective, quantitative, and repeatable metrics to detect and describe subtle VF dysfunction in mice that corresponds with underlying RLN degeneration and recovery. Adaptation of our tracking software for use with human patients is underway.
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**Level of Evidence:** NA

**INTRODUCTION**

Iatrogenic recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) injury is a common complication of anterior neck surgical procedures, such as cervical spinal surgery or thyroidectomy. Injury to the RLN results in ipsilateral vocal fold (VF) paralysis that may contribute to dysphagia, dysphonia, and/or dyspnea (i.e., swallow, voice, and respiratory dysfunction, respectively). These conditions are devastating for patients, especially if chronically persistent, as they are associated with poor quality of life, major depression, increased financial burden, and decreased general health. Furthermore, effective treatment options to promote RLN regeneration and restore full functionality of the injured VF are lacking.

Unfortunately, RLN injury and associated sequelae are impossible to systematically investigate in human patients. Therefore, a consistent animal model that mimics iatrogenic RLN injury is required to investigate the responsible mechanisms and explore potential therapeutics. Indeed, work in animal models has shown that unilateral RLN injury causes ipsilateral VF paralysis, as it does in humans. Though other translatable outcome measures such as voice, respiratory, and swallow function remain to be comprehensively examined, VF motion dynamics have provided robust and direct information in regard to RLN injury and subsequent recovery in these animal models. However, current methods often rely on subjective rating scales that do not permit thorough and meticulous evaluation of VF motion dynamics. As a result, VF mobility scores may vary between observers, and minute improvements (or deteriorations) in VF motion are likely overlooked or misidentified.

Due to the inherent concerns with subjective VF analysis, efforts have been attempted to objectively quantify VF movement. One strategy involves measuring the angle of abduction using still-frame images. However, angles
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may vary slightly within an individual animal, as total range of spontaneous VF movement during breathing depends on factors such as depth of anesthesia and ventilatory drive. Unlike human patients, anesthesia is necessary to immobilize rodent species to record VF movement. Thus, even “normal” VF movement in a single animal can vary between each laryngoscopic procedure, making longitudinal comparisons difficult with this analysis technique.

Another method for objective quantification utilizes examination of glottal area, where the area of the glottic space between midline and the VF mucosa is calculated for the injured and uninjured sides.\(^{11,17}\) In this case, determining midline remains quite subjective unless there is clear visualization of both the anterior and posterior commissures, which is a challenging view to obtain in rodents. Even if midline is identified accurately, the measurements are again affected by the total range of movement of the VFs under anesthesia, which is variable between anesthetic episodes. In addition, the fluctuating distance of the camera from the glottic space between procedures also contributes to variation in the area measured. To overcome this concern, measurements of the right VF have been compared with the left VF as a ratio to normalize VF function for each video recording.\(^{11,17}\) However, this technique, as well as other manual analysis methods, is time-consuming and therefore prohibitive to high-throughput data analysis in research or clinical practice.

Another major limitation of these analysis techniques is that they rely on still-frame images representing only two time points of VF movement (maximum abduction and maximum adduction), revealing little about VF motion dynamics as a whole. Therefore, with static images, it is impossible to demonstrate how the VFs are moving in relation to each other. Are the VFs moving symmetrically and in synchrony with one another? Is the motion fluid or uneven? Is there intermittent or paradoxical movement of the VFs? At what rate are the VFs moving? Is there compensation of the uninjured VF? These questions cannot be answered with still-frame images alone.

To alleviate the limitations of still-frame image analysis, we have developed custom computational video analysis software that includes two components: VFTrack and VFQuantify. VFTrack is a VF motion-tracker software, and VFQuantify is an analytics module that computes a set of objective, quantitative outcome measures describing VF motion dynamics, enabling objective comparisons across time and populations. These measures quantify aspects of motion behavior pertaining to healthy and paralyzed VFs, such as amplitude, frequency, range, and symmetry. In this study, two measures, mean motion range ratio (MMRR) and open close cycle ratio (OCCR), were developed to begin to objectively assess VF motion dynamics. VFQuantify also was used to calculate the maximum angle of abduction and the minimum angle during adduction to correlate our findings with previous techniques described in the literature.

To accomplish our primary objective (i.e., demonstration of the utility of our VFTrack and VFQuantify software), we produced a unilateral RLN compression (crush) injury in a mouse model using an aneurysm clip to induce ipsilateral VF dysfunction.\(^{19–23}\) To visualize VF motion, transoral laryngoscopy was performed prior to and immediately following crush injury, and also at 3 days and 2 weeks post-crush. Our secondary objective was to confirm that this nerve crush methodology and severity of force produces unilateral VF immobility in mice and to characterize how VF function recovers over time without treatment. In addition to functional analysis, we performed transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to document nerve pathology at each respective endpoint.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ten C57BL/6J (B6) mice (four males and six females), approximately 4 months of age, were used for this study, which was approved by our Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Mice were group housed by sex on a 12:12 light/dark cycle using individually ventilated cages and had free access to food and water.

#### RLN Crush Injury Procedure

Mice were anesthetized using a ketamine-xylazine cocktail (90 mg/kg and 11.25 mg/kg, respectively), prepared aseptically for surgery, and placed in dorsal recumbency on a customized platform under a surgical microscope. A midline incision (~1–2 cm) was made on the ventral neck, and the salivary glands were retracted laterally to expose the strap muscles overlaying the trachea. The right RLN was gently isolated at the level of the fifth tracheal ring and crushed with a Sugita titanium aneurysm clip (Mizuho, Tokyo, Japan)\(^{19,20,22,23}\) with a 1.3-N manufacturer-calibrated closing force. The aneurysm clip was closed for 30 seconds to induce a 1-mm injury\(^{24}\) in all mice (Fig. 1). The left RLN served as an internal control for this study.

#### Transoral Laryngoscopy

Transoral laryngoscopy\(^{25}\) was performed immediately prior to surgical incision, while the mice were anesthetized, positioned in dorsal recumbency, and immobilized in ear bars. To do so, the tongue was retracted with a cotton swab and gentle finger-grip and a micromanipulator-controlled sialendoscope with a customized laryngoscope sheath was gently inserted into the oral cavity to visualize baseline VF movement. In mice, VF movement is spontaneous with breathing, rather than an evoked response. Immediately post-crush, laryngoscopy was performed again to confirm ipsilateral VF paralysis. After repeat laryngoscopy, the incision was sutured closed, and the mouse was recovered. Laryngoscopy was performed once more at 3 days post-crush (n = 5) or 2 weeks post-crush (n = 5), prior to euthanasia and tissue collection. Laryngoscopy video recordings (30 frames per second; approximately 1–3 minutes long) were subjectively analyzed by two trained, blinded reviewers using a Likert scoring system (0 = no VF movement, 1 = partial VF movement, 2 = normal VF movement).\(^{15,19–21}\) Additionally, VF movement was tracked bilaterally with our automated motion-tracking software, VFTrack. Then VFQuantify was used to measure amplitude- and frequency-based outcome metrics, MMRR and OCCR, respectively, along with VF angle during maximum abduction and adduction, described below.

#### Automated Analysis With VFTrack and VFQuantify

Our custom VF motion-analytics software package was used to analyze VF motion dynamics. A 10-second clip was
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selected from each video recording, based on adequate visualization of the VFs and no aberrant camera movement. On the first video frame in each clip, a pair of points was manually placed on each VF (VF-glottal region boundary) for automated tracking over time using our VFTrack software. Point selection was based on the anatomical structure of the VFs, which were selected on the upper (i.e., ventral) half of each VF to ensure higher sensitivity to small VF motions. Because of the V-shaped nature of the VFs, VF points with higher y coordinates result in larger displacements for the same angular motion. Left (L<sub>L</sub>) and right (L<sub>R</sub>) lines were automatically computed based on displacement of points p<sub>L</sub> and p<sub>R</sub> (Fig. 2B). VF motion was automatically calculated through displacement of points p<sub>L</sub> and p<sub>R</sub> (Fig. 2B). VF motion was automatedly displayed on each frame, indicated by arrowhead. The right strap muscle is retracted laterally to allow visualization of the RLN. RLN = recurrent laryngeal nerve.

![Image](image_url)

**Fig. 1.** A Sugita titanium aneurysm clip with a 1.3-N closing force was used to crush the right RLN in all mice at the level of the fifth tracheal ring. (A) The aneurysm clip (1 mm wide) was closed for 30 seconds to induce injury. Arrow indicates the RLN. (B) Ultraviolet sterilized carbon powder was placed on the crush tool to mark the site of injury on the RLN for postmortem identification, indicated by arrowhead. The right strap muscle is retracted laterally to allow visualization of the RLN. RLN = recurrent laryngeal nerve.

Using VFQuantify, motion-behavior differences between left and right VFs were measured using two complementary ratios, OCCR and MMR<sub>R</sub>, to characterize the frequency and amplitude of VF motion, respectively. The number of motion cycles for each VF was computed as the number of motion midline crossings. OCCR was then computed as the ratio of number of motion cycles for right and left VFs. Motion range of each VF was defined as the distance between the leftmost and rightmost positions of the VF (i.e., local minima and maxima in Fig. 3) for each cycle. Mean motion range (MMR) was computed by averaging motion ranges over all time periods (i.e., each VF cycle within the 10-second video clip). MMR was defined as MMR<sub>left</sub>/MMR<sub>right</sub> and unilateral MMR ensure robustness against variations in VF size across different subjects and camera distance from the VFs. In addition, the two VF lines, L<sub>L</sub> and L<sub>R</sub>, were used for automated measurement of VF angle during maximum abduction (maximum angle) and maximum adduction (minimum angle) for each video, without needing to manually acquire still images. The angular range of VF movement for each mouse was calculated by subtracting the minimum angle from the maximum angle measurements.

**VFTrack Validation and Performance Evaluation**

We validated tracking accuracy of our VFTrack software by comparing the automatically generated tracks from VFTrack to manually generated tracks by two independent reviewers (M.H. and T.L.) on a subset of videos (two out of five videos per time point). For each selected video, manual tracks were generated by selecting a point (x-y coordinate) on each VF boundary and sequentially marking the same boundary coordinate on every frame of each video. Given that different points can be manually selected and tracked on the VF boundary to produce the same line used to compute our outcome measures (MMR<sub>R</sub> and OCCR), reviewers were asked to track only the x-coordinate on the VF boundary in each frame. The y-coordinate was automatically displayed on each frame, indicated by a blue horizontal line spanning the image. MMR<sub>R</sub> and OCCR measures were calculated for each video based on the manual points (n = 600 per video) placed by each reviewer. VFTrack performance was evaluated by computing 1) the pixel distance between manually and automatically tracked points along the VF boundary; 2) differences in MMR<sub>R</sub> and OCCR measures produced by these points; and 3) the time needed to generate these points. In addition, VFTrack was ran twice more by two independent reviewers to verify its reliability between reviewers.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy**

Mice were perfused with saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. RLNs were dissected en bloc and post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/2% glutaraldehyde in 100-mM sodium cacodylate buffer. Samples were sent to our Electron Microscopy Core for standard tissue processing, embedding, and sectioning (85 nm). High-resolution TEM cross-sectional images from the left and right RLNs distal to the crush site were obtained using a JEOL JEM-1400 TEM microscope (JEOL, Peabody, MA) at 80 kV with a Gatan UltraScan 1000 CCD camera (Gatan, Inc., Pleasanton, CA).

**Statistical Analysis**

Mice were divided into two groups based on endpoint. Change scores between baseline and endpoint were calculated, and independent samples t tests were used to separately analyze both outcome metrics (MMR<sub>R</sub> and OCCR). Spearman correlations were utilized to compare subjective (Likert scale) and all objective outcome measures (MMR<sub>R</sub>, OCCR, and VF angle). Pearson correlations were computed...
between the calculated angular range of motion and MMRR, to compare traditional objective analysis techniques with our novel objective metrics. Statistics were computed using SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY), and $P$ values < .05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Subjective VF Motion Results

All mice survived the RLN crush surgical procedure and subsequent laryngoscopy recordings. Our subjective
analysis of laryngoscopy video recordings revealed that all mice (n = 10) had normal, bilaterally symmetrical VF motion (score = 2) prior to injury. Immediately post-crush, all mice developed complete right-sided (ipsilateral) VF paralysis (score = 0). At the 3 days post-crush endpoint, the right VF remained immobile in all five mice. In contrast, mice had partial to full recovery of right VF movement at 2 weeks post-crush (n = 5; average score = 1.4; standard deviation [SD] = 0.55; Fig. 4A).

**Objective VF Motion Results**

Due to variable total range of VF motion in individual mice between anesthetic episodes, right VF movement was compared with left VF movement and quantified as a ratio (right:left) for our objective outcome measures. Our amplitude-based measure, MMRR, allows quantification of right (injured) versus left (control) VF range of motion. Our frequency-based measure, OCCR, quantifies the number of right VF movements compared with the left. At baseline, ratios for both MMRR and OCCR were near 1, signifying that right-VF motion dynamics were similar to the left. Immediately post-crush, ratios were virtually 0, indicating complete paralysis of the right VF. At 3 days post-crush, MMRR and OCCR remained near 0, suggesting minimal to no recovery. By 2 weeks, our findings revealed partial recovery of VF range of motion and full recovery of VF frequency (Fig. 4B,C). Mice at 3 days and 2 weeks post-crush had significantly different change scores for both outcome metrics. In addition, our automated VF angle measurements (Fig. 4D) correlated with MMRR outcomes, signifying that our novel, automated MMRR metric corresponds with previously reported angle-measurement methods that are based on time-consuming analysis of still-frame images.14–16 Correlations between outcome measures are displayed in Tables I and II.

In summary, our automated outcome measures, MMRR and OCCR, had statistically significant correlation with our subjective analysis, as well as with angular range of motion, indicating that our novel outcome measures detect similar changes as with historic analysis methods, while providing more objective and informative outcome metrics that can be expanded upon in future studies.

**VFTrack Validation and Performance Evaluation**

To validate our automated tracking software, two reviewers performed manual, frame-by-frame analysis on a subset of videos. The average pixel distance between the automated software and each independent reviewer was 1.94 pixels (SD = 1.16 [M.H.]) and 1.88 pixels (SD = 1.10 [T.L.]). The average pixel distance between reviewers was 1.59 pixels (SD = 0.40). Figure 5 displays a representative image of pixel error in a single video frame between each reviewer and VFTrack. On average, it took approximately 18 minutes longer to manually track the two VF boundary points compared with our automated process. Thus, VFTrack drastically decreases the time to...
collect the MMRR and OCCR measures reported in this study. Calculations of these measures were performed the same for both manual and automated tracks using our VFQuantify software. The average difference in MMRR and OCCR was <0.09 and <0.20, respectively, for all three cases. The larger error in OCCR was likely due to inconsistent point selection with manual analysis. Additionally, MMRR and OCCR results did not significantly change when VFTrack was rerun by two different reviewers.

Transmission Electron Microscopy

Cross-sections of the left (control) RLN in all mice revealed thick axonal myelination with minimal interstitial space between axons. In contrast, there was evidence of extensive axonal degeneration in the right RLN at 3 days post-crush. This degeneration was indicated by collapsed nerve fibers and dense, compressed myelin debris.

At 2 weeks post-crush, the presence of thinly myelinated axons provided evidence of nerve regeneration (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

The results from this study show that an aneurysm clip–induced method of unilateral RLN compression injury resulted in ipsilateral VF impairment that allowed partial recovery by 2 weeks post-crush. This recovery was objectively evaluated with our custom VF motion analytics software, VFTrack and VFQuantify, to provide novel outcome metrics to detect and quantify subtle changes in VF motion in mice after RLN injury. Our primary objective was to demonstrate the feasibility of our novel software by comparing outcome metrics with previously described methods (i.e., objective angle measurements and subjective scoring). Thus, we have shown that our software can achieve similar results as currently used methods; however, it does so via automated objective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I.</th>
<th>Associations Between Subjective and Objective VF Measures Using Spearman Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMRR</td>
<td>OCCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective score (n = 30), correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.874*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.0001 level (two-tailed).

MMRR = mean motion range ratio; OCCR = open close cycle ratio; VF = vocal fold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II.</th>
<th>Associations Between Objective Measures of VF Motion Using Pearson Correlations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMRR (n = 30), Pearson correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR (n = 30), Pearson correlation</td>
<td>0.799*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular range of motion (n = 30), Pearson correlation</td>
<td>0.817*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.0001 level (two-tailed).

CR = ; MMRR = mean motion range ratio; VF = vocal fold.
quantification methods, enabling efficient analysis of a high volume of dynamic VF motion recordings, rather than single-frame analysis.

The subjective VF recovery results found in this study correlated with the objective measures obtained by our software, which were further validated by semi-automated manual analysis methods. However, our objective measures provide more precise and accurate metrics to quantify longitudinal VF recovery. Not only can our motion-analysis software detect the difference between paralyzed and fully functional VFs, but it also can detect small, but perhaps clinically important, changes in VF motion. Without VFTrack and VFQuantify, it would be extremely challenging and time-consuming to calculate the novel functional outcome measures identified in this study, MMRR and OCCR. Additionally, with our objective VF tracking there is less variation between mice in each group when compared with subjective scoring methods, which increases the likelihood of detecting significant findings when evaluating different treatments and time points.

Objective tracking allows full visualization of VF motion dynamics throughout the entire video clip, thus overcoming the limitation of quantifying static images at only two positions in the respiratory cycle. The data supplied by our motion-analysis software include both raw measures along with the ratios of right-VF dynamics compared with the left. In addition to the metrics quantified in this study, we are searching for additional robust outcome measures that may provide new or complementary information for improved diagnostics and treatment evaluation. These measures can be used to acquire more meaningful information beyond basic range of motion and quantification of VF angles at maximum adduction and abduction. Possible automated metrics of VF motion dynamics include rate of VF movement, fluidity of VF motion, VF length/size/area, amount of VF jitter, and uninjured VF compensation, among many others.

Our VF motion-analysis software is a crucial asset for objective and reproducible analysis of VF movement for experimental purposes, but it may have much broader applications beyond a laboratory setting. In fact, we are currently working on using this technology to quantify VF motion dynamics in healthy human patients along with patients with known laryngeal dysfunction. Besides tracking VF movement with respiration, we have begun tracking more complex laryngeal functions, including the laryngeal adductor reflex and other behavioral tasks, such as sniffing through the nose, taking a deep breath, holding the breath, and vocal diadochokinetic tasks. Once VFTrack and VFQuantify are perfected and validated, healthcare professionals can begin to use them in a clinical setting in real time to advance diagnostic capabilities. We hope this will allow healthcare professionals to better monitor disease progression and treatment effects over time, enabling them to tailor therapeutic approaches to distinct symptoms and objectively quantify treatment efficacy in individual patients.

CONCLUSION

Subjective analysis remains crucial for investigators to estimate VF motion dynamics. However, because subjective analysis is inadequate for detecting small changes in VF motion over time, objective, quantitative measures are needed to fully and accurately assess VF motion dynamics. Furthermore, automation of VF motion quantification allows for high-throughput analysis of dynamic VF motion recordings, enabling increased, highly efficient research with our animal models. Most important, we have been expanding our software capabilities to identify additional outcome metrics that are amenable to automation and are also clinically relevant (i.e., translatable to humans). As such, our software is currently being tested with human patients to improve diagnosis of VF disorders and enhance monitoring of treatment efficacy.
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